Wilson loops in 5D SYM and Holography

Friðrik Freyr Gautason

Based on [2111.15493] with Valentina Giangreco M. Puletti

New Mathematical Methods in Solvable Models and Gauge/String Dualities Varna

August 19th, 2022



This vev can be evaluated using supersymmetric localization. In the planar limit, the vev takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \frac{N}{\xi} (e^{\xi} - 1) \approx \frac{N}{\xi} e^{\xi}.$$

This vev can be evaluated using supersymmetric localization. In the planar limit, the vev takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \frac{N}{\xi} (e^{\xi} - 1) \approx \frac{N}{\xi} e^{\xi}.$$

Our goal is to use holography to extract this vev at strong coupling at next-to-leading order.

This vev can be evaluated using supersymmetric localization. In the planar limit, the vev takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \frac{N}{\xi} (\mathrm{e}^{\xi} - 1) \approx \frac{N}{\xi} \mathrm{e}^{\xi} \,.$$

Our goal is to use holography to extract this vev at strong coupling at next-to-leading order.

The story will be very similar to the one for the 1/2 BPS (circular) WL in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Erickson, Semenoff, Zarembo (2000)

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \frac{2N}{\sqrt{\lambda}} I_1(\sqrt{\lambda}) \approx N \lambda^{-3/4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

However...

Our theory is not conformal. The gravity dual is more complicated. The dilaton is non-trivial. Only one other non-conformal example has been explored in this detail in the literature.

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

However...

Our theory is not conformal. The gravity dual is more complicated. The dilaton is non-trivial. Only one other non-conformal example has been explored in this detail in the literature.

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

Besides, extracting finite answers for the WL vev on the string side is still an open problem [Giombi, Tseytlin (2020)]. A successful strategy that has emerged in the past few years is to compute ratio of WLs. However...

Our theory is not conformal. The gravity dual is more complicated. The dilaton is non-trivial. Only one other non-conformal example has been explored in this detail in the literature.

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

Besides, extracting finite answers for the WL vev on the string side is still an open problem [Giombi, Tseytlin (2020)]. A successful strategy that has emerged in the past few years is to compute ratio of WLs.

But there is only one loop operator that is under control in 5D SYM at strong coupling.

Field theory

Consider the Euclidean maximal SYM in five dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \text{Tr}\left(|F|^2 - |D\Phi_m|^2 + \bar{\Psi} \not{D}\Psi - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_m, \Phi_n]^2 + \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^m [\Phi_m, \Psi]\right)$$

We are using 10D language to write down the 5D fermions (Ψ has 16 components but should be decomposed into a pair of 5D spinors). The indices are m = 0, 1, ..., 4 and *R*-symmetry is SO(1, 4).

Consider the Euclidean maximal SYM in five dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \text{Tr}\left(|F|^2 - |D\Phi_m|^2 + \bar{\Psi} \not{D}\Psi - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_m, \Phi_n]^2 + \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^m [\Phi_m, \Psi]\right)$$

We are using 10D language to write down the 5D fermions (Ψ has 16 components but should be decomposed into a pair of 5D spinors). The indices are m = 0, 1, ..., 4 and *R*-symmetry is SO(1, 4).

All fields transform in the adjoint of the gauge group SU(N).

Consider the Euclidean maximal SYM in five dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\rm YM}^2} \text{Tr} \left(|F|^2 - |D\Phi_m|^2 + \bar{\Psi} D \!\!\!/ \Psi - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_m, \Phi_n]^2 + \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^m [\Phi_m, \Psi] \right)$$

We are using 10D language to write down the 5D fermions (Ψ has 16 components but should be decomposed into a pair of 5D spinors). The indices are m = 0, 1, ..., 4 and *R*-symmetry is SO(1, 4).

All fields transform in the adjoint of the gauge group SU(N).

YM theory in 5D is not conformal, indeed $[g_{YM}^2] = -1$.

Consider the Euclidean maximal SYM in five dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \text{Tr}\left(|F|^2 - |D\Phi_m|^2 + \bar{\Psi} \not{D}\Psi - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_m, \Phi_n]^2 + \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^m [\Phi_m, \Psi]\right)$$

We are using 10D language to write down the 5D fermions (Ψ has 16 components but should be decomposed into a pair of 5D spinors). The indices are m = 0, 1, ..., 4 and *R*-symmetry is SO(1, 4).

All fields transform in the adjoint of the gauge group SU(N).

YM theory in 5D is not conformal, indeed $[g_{YM}^2] = -1$.

In fact the theory is non-renormalizable. Nevertheless, 5D MSYM is expected to be UV completed in the 6D (2,0) SCFT.

Douglas (2010), Lambert, Papageorgakis, Schmidt-Sommerfeld (2010)

5D MAXIMAL SYM on S^5

When we place euclidean SYM on S^5 , we can preserve SUSY by adding terms to the Lagrangian

Blau (2000)

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(3\Phi_m \Phi^m + \Phi_a \Phi^a \right) + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{012} \Psi - 8\Phi_0 \left[\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \right] \right),$$

where $a = 0, 1, 2$.

5D MAXIMAL SYM on S^5

When we place euclidean SYM on S^5 , we can preserve SUSY by adding terms to the Lagrangian

Blau (2000)

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(3\Phi_m \Phi^m + \Phi_a \Phi^a \right) + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{012} \Psi - 8\Phi_0 \left[\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \right] \right),$$

where $a = 0, 1, 2$.

The radius of S^5 is \mathcal{R} , and setting $\mathcal{R} \to \infty$ we recover maximal (euclidean) 5D SYM on flat space.

5D MAXIMAL SYM on S^5

When we place euclidean SYM on S^5 , we can preserve SUSY by adding terms to the Lagrangian

Blau (2000)

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(3\Phi_m \Phi^m + \Phi_a \Phi^a \right) + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{012} \Psi - 8\Phi_0 \left[\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \right] \right),$$

where $a = 0, 1, 2$.

The radius of S^5 is \mathcal{R} , and setting $\mathcal{R} \to \infty$ we recover maximal (euclidean) 5D SYM on flat space.

The global symmetry group is $\mathrm{SU}(4|1,1)$ (the R-symmetry is $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)\times\mathrm{U}(1).)$

At large N a special role will be played by a 't Hooft like coupling constant

$$\xi = \frac{g_{\rm YM}^2 N}{2\pi \mathcal{R}} \,.$$

After introducing auxiliary fields to make one of the supercharge manifest off-shell this theory can be localized in much as the same way as 4D N = 2 by Pestun.

Kim, Kim (2012), Minahan, Zabzine (2015)

After introducing auxiliary fields to make one of the supercharge manifest off-shell this theory can be localized in much as the same way as $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ by Pestun.

Kim, Kim (2012), Minahan, Zabzine (2015)

This maps the problem of computing a class of observables to a Matrix Model computation. At large N the partition function of the matrix model can be written

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{d}\mu_i \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\mathrm{eff}}} , \quad S_{\mathrm{eff}} = \frac{2\pi^2 N}{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i^2 - \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \log|\sinh(\pi(\mu_i - \mu_j))|$$

After introducing auxiliary fields to make one of the supercharge manifest off-shell this theory can be localized in much as the same way as $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ by Pestun.

Kim, Kim (2012), Minahan, Zabzine (2015)

This maps the problem of computing a class of observables to a Matrix Model computation. At large N the partition function of the matrix model can be written

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{d}\mu_i \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\mathrm{eff}}} \,, \quad S_{\mathrm{eff}} = \frac{2\pi^2 N}{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i^2 - \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \log|\sinh(\pi(\mu_i - \mu_j))|$$

In the saddle point expansion (for large N) we can find the distribution of eigenvalues

Mariño (2004)

$$\rho(\mu) = \frac{2}{\xi} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{\xi} - \cosh^2 \pi \mu}}{\cosh \pi \mu}$$

Localization allows us to compute the Free energy (= \log Partition function). At strong coupling we find

$$\frac{F}{N^2} = \frac{-\xi}{6} + \frac{\pi^2}{3\xi} - \frac{2\zeta(3)}{\xi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{-\xi}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \,.$$

Localization allows us to compute the Free energy (= \log Partition function). At strong coupling we find

$$\frac{F}{N^2} = \frac{-\xi}{6} + \frac{\pi^2}{3\xi} - \frac{2\zeta(3)}{\xi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{-\xi}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \,.$$

In this talk we will focus on the expectation value of a supersymmetric Wilson loop that is compatible with localization. The large N result is valid for all values of the coupling ξ and takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \frac{N}{\xi} (\mathrm{e}^{\xi} - 1) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}).$$

Our goal is to reproduce and verify this result (its strong coupling expansion) using holography.

Gravity dual

Maximal supersymmetric Yang-Mills in d = 5 is the worldvolume theory on D4 branes in type IIA string theory.

Maximal supersymmetric Yang-Mills in d = 5 is the worldvolume theory on D4 branes in type IIA string theory.

The holographic dual to 5D SYM is given by the gravitational geometry around D4 branes

$$\mathrm{d}s_{10}^2 = H^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{||}^2 + H^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{\perp}^2 \,.$$

where ds_{\parallel}^2 , ds_{\perp}^2 are the metrics on flat 5D spacetimes and *H* is harmonic on ds_{\perp}^2 .

Maximal supersymmetric Yang-Mills in d = 5 is the worldvolume theory on D4 branes in type IIA string theory.

The holographic dual to 5D SYM is given by the gravitational geometry around D4 branes

$$\mathrm{d}s_{10}^2 = H^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{||}^2 + H^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{\perp}^2 \,.$$

where ds_{\parallel}^2 , ds_{\perp}^2 are the metrics on flat 5D spacetimes and *H* is harmonic on ds_{\perp}^2 .

Since we are interested in Euclidean 5D SYM on S^5 , we want $ds_{\parallel}^2 = d\Omega_5^2$. We need a *spherical brane* solution.

Maximal supersymmetric Yang-Mills in d = 5 is the worldvolume theory on D4 branes in type IIA string theory.

The holographic dual to 5D SYM is given by the gravitational geometry around D4 branes

$$\mathrm{d}s_{10}^2 = H^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{||}^2 + H^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s_{\perp}^2 \,.$$

where ds_{\parallel}^2 , ds_{\perp}^2 are the metrics on flat 5D spacetimes and *H* is harmonic on ds_{\perp}^2 .

Since we are interested in Euclidean 5D SYM on S^5 , we want $ds_{\parallel}^2 = d\Omega_5^2$. We need a *spherical brane* solution.

For the case at hand there is a quick way to obtain this solution by uplifting the near-horizon metric around flat D4s to 11D where one obtaines $AdS_7 \times S^4$. Then we can change coordinates and reduce back to 10D carefully making sure supersymmetry is not broken.

SPHERICAL D4 SOLUTION

Bobev, Bomans, FFG (2018)

$$ds_{10}^2 = \ell_s^2 (N\pi e^{\Phi})^{2/3} \Big[\frac{4(d\sigma^2 + d\Omega_5^2)}{\sinh^2 \sigma} + d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\Omega_2^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 - \frac{1}{4} \tanh^2 \sigma \sin^2 \theta} d\phi^2 \Big],$$
$$e^{\Phi} = \frac{\xi^{3/2}}{N\pi} \big(\coth^2 \sigma - \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \theta \big)^{3/4}.$$

SPHERICAL D4 SOLUTION

Bobev, Bomans, FFG (2018)

$$ds_{10}^2 = \ell_s^2 (N\pi e^{\Phi})^{2/3} \Big[\frac{4(d\sigma^2 + d\Omega_5^2)}{\sinh^2 \sigma} + d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\Omega_2^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 - \frac{1}{4} \tanh^2 \sigma \sin^2 \theta} d\phi^2 \Big],$$
$$e^{\Phi} = \frac{\xi^{3/2}}{N\pi} \big(\coth^2 \sigma - \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \theta \big)^{3/4}.$$

The form fields B_2 , C_1 , and C_3 are also nontrivial but their form is not important.

SPHERICAL D4 SOLUTION

Bobev, Bomans, FFG (2018)

$$ds_{10}^2 = \ell_s^2 (N\pi e^{\Phi})^{2/3} \Big[\frac{4(d\sigma^2 + d\Omega_5^2)}{\sinh^2 \sigma} + d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\Omega_2^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 - \frac{1}{4} \tanh^2 \sigma \sin^2 \theta} d\phi^2 \Big],$$
$$e^{\Phi} = \frac{\xi^{3/2}}{N\pi} \big(\coth^2 \sigma - \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \theta \big)^{3/4}.$$

The form fields B_2 , C_1 , and C_3 are also nontrivial but their form is not important.

This background exhibits SU(4|2) symmetry just like the QFT.

Evaluating the renormalized supergravity action we obtain a leading order match with the QFT answer for the free energy obtained by localization.

Bobev, Bomans, FFG, Minahan, Nedelin (2019)

We now want to use the gravitational background to reproduce the vev of the supersymmetric Wilson loop which we computed directly in the QFT.

We now want to use the gravitational background to reproduce the vev of the supersymmetric Wilson loop which we computed directly in the QFT.

The prescription is to quantize a fundamental string in the geometry with boundary conditions which are compatible with the Wilson loop operator we are interested in. The vev should be reproduced by the partition function of the string

 $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = Z_{\text{string}}$.

We now want to use the gravitational background to reproduce the vev of the supersymmetric Wilson loop which we computed directly in the QFT.

The prescription is to quantize a fundamental string in the geometry with boundary conditions which are compatible with the Wilson loop operator we are interested in. The vev should be reproduced by the partition function of the string

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = Z_{\text{string}} \,.$$

We will do this in a semi-classical expansion which matches the strong coupling expansion in the QFT.

We now want to use the gravitational background to reproduce the vev of the supersymmetric Wilson loop which we computed directly in the QFT.

The prescription is to quantize a fundamental string in the geometry with boundary conditions which are compatible with the Wilson loop operator we are interested in. The vev should be reproduced by the partition function of the string

 $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = Z_{\text{string}} \,.$

We will do this in a semi-classical expansion which matches the strong coupling expansion in the QFT.

To leading order the string partition function is just the area of a string sitting at its saddle point.

We now want to use the gravitational background to reproduce the vev of the supersymmetric Wilson loop which we computed directly in the QFT.

The prescription is to quantize a fundamental string in the geometry with boundary conditions which are compatible with the Wilson loop operator we are interested in. The vev should be reproduced by the partition function of the string

 $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = Z_{\text{string}} \,.$

We will do this in a semi-classical expansion which matches the strong coupling expansion in the QFT.

To leading order the string partition function is just the area of a string sitting at its saddle point. Next to leading order is given by the one-loop partition function* of the string.

Recall the answer from localization

$$\log \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \xi + \log \frac{N}{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\xi}).$$

And compare with the string expansion

$$\log Z_{\text{string}} = -\text{Area} - W + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\xi}).$$

THE CLASSICAL SADDLE POINT



The classical solution (extremal area) is specified by equator of S^5 , $\theta = 0$, any point on S^2 .

THE CLASSICAL SADDLE POINT



The classical solution (extremal area) is specified by equator of S^5 , $\theta = 0$, any point on S^2 .

The worldsheet is a two-dimensional surface with metric $ds_2^2 = e^{2\rho} (d\sigma^2 + d\tau^2) , \quad e^{2\rho} = \frac{4\xi \ell_s^2}{\tanh\sigma\,\sinh^2\sigma} .$

THE CLASSICAL AREA

Computing the area of the worldsheet gives a divergent answer. We must regularize.

THE CLASSICAL AREA

Computing the area of the worldsheet gives a divergent answer. We must regularize.

There is a standard procedure to regularize the divergent area of string worldsheets such as ours. We must identify the correct boundary variables by performing a Legendre transform. This introduces a new term in the action which can be thought of as a counterterm action.

Drukker, Gross, Ooguri (1999)

Adding the counterterm to the classical action gives the regularized area of the string:

$$S_{\text{classical}} + S_{\text{ct}} = \text{Area} = -\xi$$

Lets now consider small fluctuations of the string about its saddle point.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000), ...

Lets now consider small fluctuations of the string about its saddle point.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000), ...

We have 8 (physical) bosonic modes ζ^a , and 8 fermionic modes θ^a

$$S_{\mathbb{K}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\ell_s^2} \int e^{2\rho} \left(\zeta^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{K}_a \zeta^b + \bar{\theta}^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{D} \theta^b \right).$$

Lets now consider small fluctuations of the string about its saddle point.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000), ...

We have 8 (physical) bosonic modes ζ^a , and 8 fermionic modes θ^a

$$S_{\mathbb{K}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\ell_s^2} \int e^{2\rho} \left(\zeta^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{K}_a \zeta^b + \bar{\theta}^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{D} \theta^b \right).$$

$$\mathcal{K}_a = (\mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_y, \mathcal{K}_z, \mathcal{K}_z).$$

Lets now consider small fluctuations of the string about its saddle point.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000), ...

We have 8 (physical) bosonic modes ζ^a , and 8 fermionic modes θ^a

$$S_{\mathbb{K}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\ell_s^2} \int e^{2\rho} \left(\zeta^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{K}_a \zeta^b + \bar{\theta}^a \delta_{ab} \mathcal{D} \theta^b \right).$$

$$\mathcal{K}_a = (\mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_x, \mathcal{K}_y, \mathcal{K}_y, \mathcal{K}_z, \mathcal{K}_z).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_a &= \mathrm{e}^{-2\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a \,, \qquad \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{e}^{-3\rho/2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{e}^{\rho/2} \,, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a &= -\partial_\sigma^2 - \partial_\tau^2 + E_a \,, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = i \partial \!\!\!/ + \tau_3 a + v \,. \end{aligned}$$

Here E_a , a, and v are potentials that depend on σ .

EXPLICIT OPERATORS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_a &= \mathrm{e}^{-2\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a \,, \qquad \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{e}^{-3\rho/2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{e}^{\rho/2} \,, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a &= -\partial_\sigma^2 - \partial_\tau^2 + E_a \,, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = i \partial \!\!\!/ + \tau_3 a + v \,. \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly the potentials are

$$E_x = \frac{7 + 8\cosh 2\sigma}{\sinh^2 2\sigma}, \quad E_y = \frac{1 + 2\cosh 2\sigma}{\sinh^2 2\sigma}, \quad E_z = \frac{3}{\sinh^2 2\sigma},$$
$$a = \frac{i}{2\cosh\sigma}, \quad v = \frac{3i}{2\sinh\sigma}.$$

EXPLICIT OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{K}_a = e^{-2\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a , \qquad \mathcal{D} = e^{-3\rho/2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{\rho/2} ,$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a = -\partial_{\sigma}^2 - \partial_{\tau}^2 + E_a , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = i \partial \!\!\!/ + \tau_3 a + v$$

Explicitly the potentials are

$$E_x = \frac{7 + 8\cosh 2\sigma}{\sinh^2 2\sigma}, \quad E_y = \frac{1 + 2\cosh 2\sigma}{\sinh^2 2\sigma}, \quad E_z = \frac{3}{\sinh^2 2\sigma},$$
$$a = \frac{i}{2\cosh\sigma}, \quad v = \frac{3i}{2\sinh\sigma}.$$

Since the model is Gaussian the partition function is given by determinants

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{K}} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(\det \mathcal{K}_x)^4 (\det \mathcal{K}_y)^2 (\det \mathcal{K}_z)^2}{(\det \mathcal{D})^8}$$

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

This should be allowed in string theory since the worldsheet theory is Weyl invariant.

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

This should be allowed in string theory since the worldsheet theory is Weyl invariant.

The explicitly Weyl anomaly of our one-loop theory is however non-trivial!

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

This should be allowed in string theory since the worldsheet theory is Weyl invariant.

The explicitly Weyl anomaly of our one-loop theory is however non-trivial! We have neglected to take into account the running dilaton.

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

This should be allowed in string theory since the worldsheet theory is Weyl invariant.

The explicitly Weyl anomaly of our one-loop theory is however non-trivial! We have neglected to take into account the running dilaton.

$$W = \Gamma_{\mathbb{K}} + S_{\mathrm{FT}}, \qquad S_{\mathrm{FT}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{e}^{2\rho} \Phi R_{\rho}.$$

The presence of the metric $e^{2\rho}$ complicates the computation of the determinants. It would be useful if we could perform a Weyl transformation to eliminate the metric.

This should be allowed in string theory since the worldsheet theory is Weyl invariant.

The explicitly Weyl anomaly of our one-loop theory is however non-trivial! We have neglected to take into account the running dilaton.

$$W = \Gamma_{\mathbb{K}} + S_{\mathrm{FT}}, \qquad S_{\mathrm{FT}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{e}^{2
ho} \Phi R_{
ho}.$$

The combined object *W* is Weyl invariant: $W[\rho] = W[0]$.

Weyl rescaling

We must be careful! the Weyl factor is ill-defined for large σ

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\rho} = \frac{4\xi\ell_s^2}{\tanh\sigma\,\sinh^2\sigma} \to 16\xi\ell_s^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\sigma}$$

•

We must be careful! the Weyl factor is ill-defined for large σ

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\rho} = \frac{4\xi\ell_s^2}{\tanh\sigma\,\sinh^2\sigma} \to 16\xi\ell_s^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\sigma}\,.$$

This is the 'center' of the worldsheet, the σ coordinate breaks down there

$$\mathrm{d} s_2^2 \to 16\xi \ell_s^2 (\mathrm{d} r^2 + r^2 \mathrm{d} \tau^2)\,, \qquad r = \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma}$$

•

We must be careful! the Weyl factor is ill-defined for large σ

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\rho} = \frac{4\xi\ell_s^2}{\tanh\sigma\,\sinh^2\sigma} \to 16\xi\ell_s^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\sigma}$$

This is the 'center' of the worldsheet, the σ coordinate breaks down there

$$ds_2^2 \to 16\xi \ell_s^2 (dr^2 + r^2 d\tau^2), \qquad r = e^{-\sigma}$$

Blindly Weyl rescaling the metric away changes the topology of the worldsheet from a disc to a cylinder. This issue was first pointed out in a different but related context.

Cagnazzo, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

Before Weyl rescaling, we cut the worldsheet in two pieces



Before Weyl rescaling, we cut the worldsheet in two pieces



For the cylinder piece, Ricci scalar vanishes and so $S_{\text{FT}} = 0$.

Before Weyl rescaling, we cut the worldsheet in two pieces



For the cylinder piece, Ricci scalar vanishes and so $S_{\text{FT}} = 0$.

For the small cap, the operators become exactly free $(E_a = a = 0)$, and the partition function is trivial.

Before Weyl rescaling, we cut the worldsheet in two pieces



For the cylinder piece, Ricci scalar vanishes and so $S_{\text{FT}} = 0$.

For the small cap, the operators become exactly free $(E_a = a = 0)$, and the partition function is trivial.

Combining the two answers, we have

$$W = \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbb{K}}}(R) - \log \frac{N\pi}{\xi^{3/2}}.$$

The one-loop partition function can be computed using various methods, we use the phase shift method first used in this context in

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

The one-loop partition function can be computed using various methods, we use the phase shift method first used in this context in

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{K}}(R) = 2\log \pi + \log(\Lambda e^{-R}).$$

The one-loop partition function can be computed using various methods, we use the phase shift method first used in this context in

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbb{K}}}(R) = 2\log \pi + \log(\Lambda e^{-R})$$

The divergence $\log(\Lambda e^{-R})$ is universal and expected for a worldsheet with disc topology.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000); Giombi, Tseytlin (2020)

The one-loop partition function can be computed using various methods, we use the phase shift method first used in this context in

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbb{K}}}(R) = 2\log \pi + \log(\Lambda e^{-R}).$$

The divergence $\log(\Lambda e^{-R})$ is universal and expected for a worldsheet with disc topology.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000); Giombi, Tseytlin (2020)

But it prevents a comparison with the QFT.

The one-loop partition function can be computed using various methods, we use the phase shift method first used in this context in

Chen-Lin, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbb{K}}}(R) = 2\log \pi + \log(\Lambda e^{-R}).$$

The divergence $\log(\Lambda e^{-R})$ is universal and expected for a worldsheet with disc topology.

Drukker, Gross, Tseytlin (2000); Giombi, Tseytlin (2020)

But it prevents a comparison with the QFT.

We need to construct a finite ratio of string disc partition functions for which the universal divergences cancel.

The only other type IIA string partition function we know the answer for corresponds to Wilson loops in ABJM (dual to a string in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$)

Aguilera-Damia, Faraggi, Pando Zayas, Rathee, Silva (2018); Medina-Rincon (2019)

The only other type IIA string partition function we know the answer for corresponds to Wilson loops in ABJM (dual to a string in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$)

Aguilera-Damia, Faraggi, Pando Zayas, Rathee, Silva (2018); Medina-Rincon (2019)

The two divergent answers must be computed using the same regularization scheme. In particular the cutoff R must be replaced by a diffeomorphism invariant cutoff given by the area of the cap

Cagnazzo, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$A = \frac{2\pi}{\ell_s^2} \int_R^\infty e^{2\rho} d\sigma \sim T e^{-2R}$$

The only other type IIA string partition function we know the answer for corresponds to Wilson loops in ABJM (dual to a string in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$)

Aguilera-Damia, Faraggi, Pando Zayas, Rathee, Silva (2018); Medina-Rincon (2019)

The two divergent answers must be computed using the same regularization scheme. In particular the cutoff R must be replaced by a diffeomorphism invariant cutoff given by the area of the cap

Cagnazzo, Medina-Rincon, Zarembo (2017)

$$A = \frac{2\pi}{\ell_s^2} \int_R^\infty e^{2\rho} d\sigma \sim T e^{-2R}.$$

Sidenote: This replacement introduces the factor $\sim \sqrt{T}$ as discussed by Giombi and Tseytlin.

The two type IIA partition functions are

$$\begin{split} \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm SYM} &= \xi + \log \frac{4N_{\rm SYM}}{\xi\sqrt{\pi}} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A})\,,\\ \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm ABJM} &= \pi\sqrt{2\lambda} + \log \frac{N_{\rm ABJM}}{\pi^{3/2}\lambda} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A})\,. \end{split}$$

The two type IIA partition functions are

$$\begin{split} \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm SYM} &= \xi + \log \frac{4N_{\rm SYM}}{\xi\sqrt{\pi}} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A})\,,\\ \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm ABJM} &= \pi\sqrt{2\lambda} + \log \frac{N_{\rm ABJM}}{\pi^{3/2}\lambda} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A})\,. \end{split}$$

And the ratio is

$$\frac{Z_{\rm string}^{\rm SYM}}{Z_{\rm string}^{\rm ABJM}} = \frac{\frac{N_{\rm SYM}}{\xi} {\rm e}^{\xi}}{\frac{N_{\rm ABJM}}{4\pi\lambda} {\rm e}^{\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}} \, . \label{eq:string_string_string}$$

The two type IIA partition functions are

$$\begin{split} \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm SYM} &= \xi + \log \frac{4N_{\rm SYM}}{\xi\sqrt{\pi}} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A}) \,, \\ \log Z_{\rm string}^{\rm ABJM} &= \pi\sqrt{2\lambda} + \log \frac{N_{\rm ABJM}}{\pi^{3/2}\lambda} - \log(\Lambda\sqrt{A}) \,. \end{split}$$

And the ratio is

$$\frac{Z_{\rm string}^{\rm SYM}}{Z_{\rm string}^{\rm ABJM}} = \frac{\frac{N_{\rm SYM}}{\xi} {\rm e}^{\xi}}{\frac{N_{\rm ABJM}}{4\pi\lambda} {\rm e}^{\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}} \,.$$

This matches perfectly the QFT answers!

Outlook

Outlook

- Latitude WL in 5D Can we compute their vev on matrix model or string side?
- SYM in other dimensions QFT answer exist for 3D, can we reproduce the answer from string side?

WIP. w. Pieter Bomans and Valentina Giangreco M. Puletti

* $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ for arbitrary mass, The QFT prediction is a highly nontrivial function of the mass

Chen-Lin, Gordon, Zarembo (2014)

With current technology we should be able to reproduce it/verify it in string theory

WIP. w. Valentina Giangreco M. Puletti and Konstantin Zarembo

 We should understand the cancellation of divergences on the string side without computing ratios.