

On the reducible points for scalar generalized Verma modules

Toshihisa Kubo

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Tokyo

XI. International Workshop
LIE THEORY AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN PHYSICS

June 15 - 21, 2015, Varna, Bulgaria

Joint work in progress with Haian He and Roger Zierau

Problem

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: maximal parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}
- $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$: scalar generalized Verma module induced from \mathfrak{q}

Problem:

Classify all the complex parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$, for which $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ are reducible.

Today:

Give answers to the problem for certain maximal parabolic subalgebras \mathfrak{q} of \mathfrak{g} of type E_6, E_7, E_8 .

Problem

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: maximal parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}
- $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$: scalar generalized Verma module induced from \mathfrak{q}

Problem:

Classify all the complex parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$, for which $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ are reducible.

Today:

Give answers to the problem for certain maximal parabolic subalgebras \mathfrak{q} of \mathfrak{g} of type E_6, E_7, E_8 .

Problem

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: maximal parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}
- $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$: scalar generalized Verma module induced from \mathfrak{q}

Problem:

Classify all the complex parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$, for which $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ are reducible.

Today:

Give answers to the problem for certain maximal parabolic subalgebras \mathfrak{q} of \mathfrak{g} of type E_6 , E_7 , E_8 .

Outline

- ① Setting
- ② Reducible criteria
- ③ Results

Setting

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- \mathfrak{h} : Cartan subalgebra
- Δ : the root system with respect to \mathfrak{h}
- \mathfrak{b} : Borel subalgebra
- Δ^+ : the positive system with respect to \mathfrak{b}
- Π : the simple system for Δ^+
- $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$
- α^\vee : the coroot of $\alpha \in \Delta$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$:= the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g}

Setting

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- \mathfrak{h} : Cartan subalgebra
- Δ : the root system with respect to \mathfrak{h}
- \mathfrak{b} : Borel subalgebra
- Δ^+ : the positive system with respect to \mathfrak{b}
- Π : the simple system for Δ^+
- $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$
- α^\vee : the coroot of $\alpha \in \Delta$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$: the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g}

Setting

- \mathfrak{g} : complex simple Lie algebra
- \mathfrak{h} : Cartan subalgebra
- Δ : the root system with respect to \mathfrak{h}
- \mathfrak{b} : Borel subalgebra
- Δ^+ : the positive system with respect to \mathfrak{b}
- Π : the simple system for Δ^+
- $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$
- α^\vee : the coroot of $\alpha \in \Delta$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$:= the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g}

- \mathfrak{q} : standard parabolic subalgebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: Levi decomposition (\mathfrak{l} : Levi part, \mathfrak{n} : nilpotent radical)
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$ (\mathfrak{g}_α : the root space for $\alpha \in \Delta$)
- $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Delta^+$
- $\Pi(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Pi$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{l}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})} \alpha$
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{n}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}\}$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})} \alpha = \rho - \rho(\mathfrak{l})$

- \mathfrak{q} : standard parabolic subalgebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: Levi decomposition (\mathfrak{l} : Levi part, \mathfrak{n} : nilpotent radical)
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$ (\mathfrak{g}_α : the root space for $\alpha \in \Delta$)
- $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Delta^+$
- $\Pi(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Pi$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{l}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})} \alpha$
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{n}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}\}$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})} \alpha = \rho - \rho(\mathfrak{l})$

- \mathfrak{q} : standard parabolic subalgebra
- $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$: Levi decomposition (\mathfrak{l} : Levi part, \mathfrak{n} : nilpotent radical)
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$ (\mathfrak{g}_α : the root space for $\alpha \in \Delta$)
- $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Delta^+$
- $\Pi(\mathfrak{l}) := \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \cap \Pi$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{l}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})} \alpha$
- $\Delta(\mathfrak{n}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}\}$
- $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})} \alpha = \rho - \rho(\mathfrak{l})$

Now we set

$$P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{l})\}.$$

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, we write

$V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda) := \text{the simple } \mathfrak{l}\text{-module with highest weight } \lambda.$

Definition:

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, the *generalized Verma module* $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda - \rho$ is defined as

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho).$$

Remark:

When $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1$, we say that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is of scalar type.

Now we set

$$P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{l})\}.$$

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, we write

$V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda) := \text{the simple } \mathfrak{l}\text{-module with highest weight } \lambda.$

Definition:

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, the *generalized Verma module* $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda - \rho$ is defined as

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho).$$

Remark:

When $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1$, we say that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is of scalar type.

Now we set

$$P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{l})\}.$$

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, we write

$V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda) :=$ the simple \mathfrak{l} -module with highest weight λ .

Definition:

For $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$, the *generalized Verma module* $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda - \rho$ is defined as

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho).$$

Remark:

When $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1$, we say that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is of scalar type.

Hereafter, we assume that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra.

- $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the simple root corresponding to \mathfrak{q}
- $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the fundamental weight for $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$

Facts:

- ① $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1 \iff \lambda = t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$
- ② $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for some $c_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

Consequently, scalar generalized Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) = M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}))$ may be parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{C}$. We then write

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t] \equiv M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbb{C}_{(t-c_{\mathfrak{q}})\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

Definition:

We say that $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a reducible point for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ if $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t_0]$ is reducible.

Hereafter, we assume that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra.

- $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the simple root corresponding to \mathfrak{q}
- $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the fundamental weight for $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$

Facts:

- ① $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1 \iff \lambda = t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$
- ② $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for some $c_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

Consequently, scalar generalized Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) = M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}))$ may be parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{C}$. We then write

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t] \equiv M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbb{C}_{(t-c_{\mathfrak{q}})\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

Definition:

We say that $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a reducible point for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ if $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t_0]$ is reducible.

Hereafter, we assume that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra.

- $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the simple root corresponding to \mathfrak{q}
- $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the fundamental weight for $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$

Facts:

- ① $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1 \iff \lambda = t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$
- ② $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for some $c_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

Consequently, scalar generalized Verma modules $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) = M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}))$ may be parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{C}$. We then write

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t] \equiv M_{\mathfrak{q}}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbb{C}_{(t-c_{\mathfrak{q}})\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

Definition:

We say that $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a reducible point for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ if $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t_0]$ is reducible.

Previous classification results: $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

- \mathfrak{n} abelian (i.e. one-step nilpotent, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$):
 - Enright–Howe–Wallach and Jakobsen: Individually classified all the reducible points so that the irreducible quotient of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is unitarizable. ('83)
 - Haian He: Recently classified all the reducible points for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$.
(arXiv:1501.01884)
- \mathfrak{n} k -step nilpotent with $k \geq 2$: not known

Today:

Mainly observe maximal parabolic $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent, namely,

- \mathfrak{n} is two-step nilpotent ($[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \neq 0$, $[\mathfrak{n}, [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]] = 0$),
- $\dim[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] > 1$.

Previous classification results: $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

- \mathfrak{n} abelian (i.e. one-step nilpotent, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$):
 - Enright–Howe–Wallach and Jakobsen: Individually classified all the reducible points so that the irreducible quotient of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is unitarizable. ('83)
 - Haian He: Recently classified all the reducible points for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$.
(arXiv:1501.01884)
- \mathfrak{n} k -step nilpotent with $k \geq 2$: not known

Today:

Mainly observe maximal parabolic $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent, namely,

- \mathfrak{n} is two-step nilpotent ($[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \neq 0$, $[\mathfrak{n}, [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]] = 0$),
- $\dim[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] > 1$.

Previous classification results: $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

- \mathfrak{n} abelian (i.e. one-step nilpotent, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$):
 - Enright–Howe–Wallach and Jakobsen: Individually classified all the reducible points so that the irreducible quotient of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is unitarizable. ('83)
 - Haian He: Recently classified all the reducible points for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$.
(arXiv:1501.01884)
- \mathfrak{n} k -step nilpotent with $k \geq 2$: not known

Today:

Mainly observe maximal parabolic $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent, namely,

- \mathfrak{n} is two-step nilpotent ($[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \neq 0$, $[\mathfrak{n}, [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]] = 0$),
- $\dim[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] > 1$.

Previous classification results: $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

- \mathfrak{n} abelian (i.e. one-step nilpotent, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$):
 - Enright–Howe–Wallach and Jakobsen: Individually classified all the reducible points so that the irreducible quotient of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is unitarizable. ('83)
 - Haian He: Recently classified all the reducible points for $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$. (arXiv:1501.01884)
- \mathfrak{n} k -step nilpotent with $k \geq 2$: not known

Today:

Mainly observe maximal parabolic $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent, namely,

- \mathfrak{n} is two-step nilpotent ($[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \neq 0$, $[\mathfrak{n}, [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]] = 0$),
- $\dim[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] > 1$.

Reducible criteria

Jantzen's criterion (a specialization for maximal parabolic \mathfrak{q}):

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra, then

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t] \text{ is irreducible} \iff \sum_{\beta \in S_t} Y(s_{\beta}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}))) = 0,$$

where

- $S_t := \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$
- $Y(\lambda) := D^{-1} \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{l})} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w\lambda}$

with

- D : the Weyl denominator,
- $W(\mathfrak{l})$: the Weyl group of \mathfrak{l} ,
- $\ell(w)$: the length of w

Reducible criteria

Jantzen's criterion (a specialization for maximal parabolic \mathfrak{q}):

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal parabolic subalgebra, then

$$M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t] \text{ is irreducible} \iff \sum_{\beta \in S_t} Y(s_{\beta}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}))) = 0,$$

where

- $S_t := \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$
- $Y(\lambda) := D^{-1} \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{l})} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w\lambda}$

with

- D : the Weyl denominator,
- $W(\mathfrak{l})$: the Weyl group of \mathfrak{l} ,
- $\ell(w)$: the length of w

Point: Jantzen's criterion is very powerful. However, it is in general not easy to evaluate $\sum_{\beta \in S_t} Y(s_{\beta}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})))$.

Facts: (See, for instance, [Humphreys, GSM 94, Theorem 9.12].)

Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be a parabolic subalgebra (not necessarily maximal) and let $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$ (not necessarily $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1$).

- ① If $\langle \lambda, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \notin 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for all $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})$, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is irreducible.
- ② The converse also holds if λ is regular.

Point: By "Humphreys' fact," we only need to apply Jantzen's criterion only for t so that $t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})$ is singular.

Difficulty: It is a bit of work to evaluate $\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle$ for all $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 .

Point: Jantzen's criterion is very powerful. However, it is in general not easy to evaluate $\sum_{\beta \in S_t} Y(s_{\beta}(t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})))$.

Facts: (See, for instance, [Humphreys, GSM 94, Theorem 9.12].)

Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be a parabolic subalgebra (not necessarily maximal) and let $\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{l}}^+$ (not necessarily $\dim V_{\mathfrak{l}}(\lambda - \rho) = 1$).

- ① If $\langle \lambda, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \notin 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for all $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})$, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is irreducible.
- ② The converse also holds if λ is regular.

Point: By "Humphreys' fact," we only need to apply Jantzen's criterion only for t so that $t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l})$ is singular.

Difficulty: It is a bit of work to evaluate $\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle$ for all $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 .

Two further reducibility criteria

Let's go back to $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, maximal parabolic with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent.

- Criterion 1:

Proposition:

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is as above, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible only if $t \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{>0}$.

Two further reducibility criteria

Let's go back to $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, maximal parabolic with \mathfrak{n} non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent.

- Criterion 1:

Proposition:

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is as above, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible only if $t \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{>0}$.

- Criterion 2: First observe the following:

Observation:

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is as above, then one can give a two-grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=-2}^2 \mathfrak{g}(k)$ on \mathfrak{g} so that

$$\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(k) \text{ with } \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \text{ and } \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(2).$$

Definition:

We set $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(k)) :=$ the height of a root $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k))$ so that

$$\text{ht}(\beta) \geq \text{ht}(\beta') \text{ for all } \beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k)),$$

where $\text{ht}(\beta)$ is the height of β .

- Criterion 2: First observe the following:

Observation:

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is as above, then one can give a two-grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=-2}^2 \mathfrak{g}(k)$ on \mathfrak{g} so that

$$\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(k) \text{ with } \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \text{ and } \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(2).$$

Definition:

We set $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(k)) :=$ the height of a root $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k))$ so that

$$\text{ht}(\beta) \geq \text{ht}(\beta') \text{ for all } \beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k)),$$

where $\text{ht}(\beta)$ is the height of β .

- Criterion 2: First observe the following:

Observation:

If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is as above, then one can give a two-grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=-2}^2 \mathfrak{g}(k)$ on \mathfrak{g} so that

$$\mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(k) \text{ with } \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \text{ and } \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(2).$$

Definition:

We set $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(k)) :=$ the height of a root $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k))$ so that

$$\text{ht}(\beta) \geq \text{ht}(\beta') \text{ for all } \beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(k)),$$

where $\text{ht}(\beta)$ is the height of β .

Theorem A:

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple simply laced Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be as above. Suppose that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2)) - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1)) + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0)) > c_{\mathfrak{q}}$. If there exists $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ so that

- $\gamma \in S_m$ and
- $\langle m\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$,

then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in m + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$,

where

- $S_m = \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \langle m\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$
- γ : the highest root of \mathfrak{g}
- $c_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the number in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ so that $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$

Results

- \mathfrak{g} = complex simple Lie algebra of type E_6 , E_7 , or E_8 .
- \mathfrak{q} = maximal parabolic subalgebra of non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent type

Write such parabolic subalgebra by a pair of the type of \mathfrak{g} and the simple root $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$:

$$(E_6, \alpha_3), (E_6, \alpha_5), (E_7, \alpha_2), (E_7, \alpha_6), (E_8, \alpha_1).$$

(Here the Bourbaki convention is used for the numbering of simple roots.)

Theorem B:

If \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{q} are as above, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible exactly at the following t :

$$(E_6, \alpha_3) : t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$$

$$(E_6, \alpha_5) : t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$$

$$(E_7, \alpha_2) : t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$$

$$(E_7, \alpha_6) : t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$$

$$(E_8, \alpha_1) : t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$$

Results

- \mathfrak{g} = complex simple Lie algebra of type E_6 , E_7 , or E_8 .
- \mathfrak{q} = maximal parabolic subalgebra of non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent type

Write such parabolic subalgebra by a pair of the type of \mathfrak{g} and the simple root $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$:

$$(E_6, \alpha_3), (E_6, \alpha_5), (E_7, \alpha_2), (E_7, \alpha_6), (E_8, \alpha_1).$$

(Here the Bourbaki convention is used for the numbering of simple roots.)

Theorem B:

If \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{q} are as above, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible exactly at the following t :

$$\begin{aligned}(E_6, \alpha_3) : \quad t &\in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \\(E_6, \alpha_5) : \quad t &\in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \\(E_7, \alpha_2) : \quad t &\in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \\(E_7, \alpha_6) : \quad t &\in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \\(E_8, \alpha_1) : \quad t &\in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup \left(\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Results

- \mathfrak{g} = complex simple Lie algebra of type E_6 , E_7 , or E_8 .
- \mathfrak{q} = maximal parabolic subalgebra of non-Heisenberg two-step nilpotent type

Write such parabolic subalgebra by a pair of the type of \mathfrak{g} and the simple root $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$:

$$(E_6, \alpha_3), (E_6, \alpha_5), (E_7, \alpha_2), (E_7, \alpha_6), (E_8, \alpha_1).$$

(Here the Bourbaki convention is used for the numbering of simple roots.)

Theorem B:

If \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{q} are as above, then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible exactly at the following t :

$$\begin{array}{ll} (E_6, \alpha_3) : & t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \\ (E_6, \alpha_5) : & t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \\ (E_7, \alpha_2) : & t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \\ (E_7, \alpha_6) : & t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \\ (E_8, \alpha_1) : & t \in (1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{5}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \end{array}$$

Proof:

- The proof is a case-by-case analysis.
- The summary of c_q , $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0))$, $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1))$, and $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2))$:

Parabolic \mathfrak{q}	c_q	$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0))$	$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1))$	$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2))$
$(E_6, \alpha_3) :$	$\frac{9}{2}$	4	8	11
$(E_6, \alpha_5) :$	$\frac{9}{2}$	4	8	11
$(E_7, \alpha_2) :$	7	6	13	17
$(E_7, \alpha_6) :$	$\frac{13}{2}$	7	12	17
$(E_7, \alpha_1) :$	$\frac{23}{2}$	11	22	29

- Observe that we have $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2)) - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1)) + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0)) > c_q$ for each case.

(Ex: (E_6, α_3)):

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2)) - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1)) + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0)) = 11 - 8 + 4 = 7 > \frac{9}{2} = c_q$$

Case of (E_6, α_3)

- It suffices to consider $t \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{>0} = (1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})$.
- Handle the cases that $t \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and $t \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, separately, since roots in S_t are different ($S_t = \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$):

- If $t \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, then

$$S_t = \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)) \mid \text{ht}(\beta) > 9 - 2t\}.$$

- If $t \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, then

$$S_t = \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(1)) \mid \text{ht}(\beta) > (9/2) - t\} \cup \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)) \mid \text{ht}(\beta) > 9 - 2t\}.$$

Theorem A:

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple simply laced Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be as above. Suppose that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(2)) - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(1)) + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g}(0)) > c_{\mathfrak{q}}$. If there exists $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ so that

- $\gamma \in S_m$ and
- $\langle m\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$,

then $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in m + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$,

where

- $S_m = \{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \langle m\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in 1 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$
- γ : the highest root of \mathfrak{g}
- $c_{\mathfrak{q}}$: the number in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ so that $\rho(\mathfrak{n}) = c_{\mathfrak{q}}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$

By inspection, for γ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , we have

$$\gamma \in S_2 \text{ and } \langle 2\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$$

and

$$\gamma \in S_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ and } \langle \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l}).$$

Note: For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have

$$\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \begin{cases} \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \\ (t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(1)) \\ 2(t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem A, $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})$.

- To determine the cases that $t = 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we use more involved observation on Jantzen's criterion and heights of roots.

By inspection, for γ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , we have

$$\gamma \in S_2 \text{ and } \langle 2\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$$

and

$$\gamma \in S_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ and } \langle \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l}).$$

Note: For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have

$$\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \begin{cases} \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \\ (t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(1)) \\ 2(t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem A, $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})$.

- To determine the cases that $t = 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we use more involved observation on Jantzen's criterion and heights of roots.

By inspection, for γ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , we have

$$\gamma \in S_2 \text{ and } \langle 2\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$$

and

$$\gamma \in S_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ and } \langle \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l}).$$

Note: For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have

$$\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \begin{cases} \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \\ (t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(1)) \\ 2(t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem A, $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})$.

- To determine the cases that $t = 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we use more involved observation on Jantzen's criterion and heights of roots.

By inspection, for γ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , we have

$$\gamma \in S_2 \text{ and } \langle 2\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l})$$

and

$$\gamma \in S_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ and } \langle \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \gamma^{\vee} \rangle > \text{ht}(\mathfrak{l}).$$

Note: For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have

$$\langle t\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} + \rho(\mathfrak{l}), \alpha^{\vee} \rangle = \begin{cases} \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l}) \\ (t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(1)) \\ 2(t - c_{\mathfrak{q}}) + \text{ht}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}(2)). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem A, $M_{\mathfrak{q}}[t]$ is reducible for all $t \in (2 + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}) \cup (\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0})$.

- To determine the cases that $t = 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we use more involved observation on Jantzen's criterion and heights of roots.

References

- T. Enright, R. Howe, and N. Wallach, *A classification of unitary highest modules, Representation Theory of Reductive Groups* (Park City, Utah, 1982), Page 97–143, Birkhäuser, Boston, Massachusetts, 1983
- H. He, *On the reducibility of scalar generalized Verma modules of abelian type*, preprint, arXiv:1501.01884
- J. Humphreys, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* , Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **94**, AMS, Rhode Island, 2008.
- H. P. Jakobsen, *Hermitian symmetric spaces and their unitary highest weight modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **52**, 385–412, 1983.
- J. C. Jantzen, *Kontravariante Formen auf induzierten Darstellungen halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren*, Math. Ann. **226**, 53–65, 1977.
- H. Matumoto, *The homomorphisms between scalar generalized Verma modules associated to maximal parabolic subalgebras*, Duke Math. J. **131**, no. 1, 75–118, 2006.